

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/611,386	07/01/2003		Sudershan K. Arora	RLL-9.2US	1529	
26815	7590	10/21/2004		EXAMINER		
RANBAXY		FAST	KHARE, DEVESH			
SUITE 2100		L/101	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PRINCETON, NJ 08540				1623		
				DATE MAIL ED: 10/21/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)	\neg					
		10/611,386		ARORA ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	-					
		Devesh Khare		1623	_					
	The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the cov	er sheet with the co	orrespondence address						
Period fo		ND DEDIVIO OCT TO C	VDIDE AMONTHS	C) EDOM						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>6/10/12004</u> .								
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2	b)☐ This action is non-t	final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposit	on of Claims			•						
- 4)⊠	Claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are pending in the	e application.								
/-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)□-	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are rejected.									
7)										
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election requ	irement.							
Applicat	ion Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.										
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	-								
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).						
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			4						
,	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been re	eceived.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been re	eceived in Applicati	on No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies			ed in this National Stage						
,	application from the Internatio									
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the certified	I copies not receive	d.						
Λ#4-ab										
Attachmer 1) Noti	อย of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)						
2) Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate						
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date		Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)						
ıαpı										

Art Unit: 1623

Applicant's amendment and response filed on 06/10/2004 is acknowledged. Claims 1-4 and 7-14 have been cancelled. Claim 5 has been amended.

The rejection of claims 5 and 6, under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, has been overcome through applicants' amendment.

Claims 5 and 6 are currently pending in this application.

35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arora et al.(Arora) (U.S. Patent #5,637,570) in view of Bouveng (Acta. Chem. Scand. 1961, 15, 96-100) of record.

Claims **5 and 6** are drawn to a process for preparing compounds of Formula I by treating the compound of Formula II with an isocyanate in a solvent. Dependent claim limitations include the substituent R_2 is $-NH(CH_2)_n$ -pyrrolidinyl, -piperidinyl, -morpholinyl or -hexamethyleneimino.

Arora teaches a process for the preparation of the 2,3-O-isopropylidene-α-L-xylo-2-hexulofuranose compounds wherein the hydroxyl group at C-4 is substituted with a

Art Unit: 1623

nitrogen containing heterocyclic moiety (abstract). Arora discloses that the said compounds exhibit greater potency for cancer treatment and provides ease of oral administration when the 4-OH is substituted with nitrogen containing heterocyclic moiety (col. 2, lines 49-60). Arora discloses the preparation of 2,3-O-isopropylidene-α-L-xylo-2-hexulofuranose compounds wherein substituent R₂ is –NH(CH₂)_n-pyrrolidinyl, – piperidinyl, –morpholinyl or –hexamethyleneimino (cols. 4-6, scheme 1 and the compounds in cols. 7-8). Arora differs from the complete scope of the applicants invention in that Arora does not disclose the preparation of the 2,3-O-isopropylidene-α-L-xylo-2-hexulofuranose compounds wherein the free hydroxyl group at C-4 is treated with an isocyanate reagent to produce a nitrogen containing heterocyclic moiety such as carbamate.

Bouveng teaches to transform free hydroxyl group of a carbohydrate to phenylcarbamoyl (carbamate) group (e.g. glucuronoxylan) by converting the phenylisocyanate derivatives of carbohydrates, to phenylcarbamoyl groups (see abstract and experimental on page 98).

It would have been obvious to person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify the process for conversion of the 4-hydroxy group to its corresponding nitrogen containing heterocyclic moiety of Arora in view of the teachings of Bouveng to a process of conversion of a free hydroxyl group to its corresponding carbamate by treating with an isocyanate reagent because Arora discloses that the said compounds exhibit greater potency for cancer treatment and provides ease of oral

Art Unit: 1623

administration when the 4-OH is substituted with a nitrogen containing heterocyclic moiety (col. 2, lines 49-60).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments traversing the rejection of claims 5 and 6 under 35 U.S.C 103(a) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues, "The disclosure of Arora et al. does not appear to disclose, suggest or provide motivation for modification of the molecule of Formula I at any position in the structure, beyond what is mentioned as possible for R, R₁ or R₂".

It is noted that Arora et al. disclose that the substitution of free hydroxyl group of L-hexose with O-heterocyclic alkyl, heterocyclic alkyl, N-heterocycle, N-heterocyclic alkyl, etc. groups can make the compounds active to combat various diseases including cancer (col. 2, lines 40-45). Furthermore, Arora et al. disclose that the amine salts are preferred for intramuscular injection (col. 9, lines 1-2).

Bouveng teaches to transform free hydroxyl group of a carbohydrate to phenylcarbamoyl (carbamate) group (e.g. glucuronoxylan).

Indeed, the examiner has established a prima facie case of obviousness rendering claims 5 and 6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) by addressing sufficiently all of the limitations set forth in a process for preparing the compounds of 2,3-O-isopropylidene-α-L-xylo-2-hexulofuranosonic acid of Formula I wherein sugar is substituted at 4-OH with a carbamate group, one skilled in the art would have a reasonable expectation for

Art Unit: 1623

success in combining the teachings of Arora et al. and Bouveng references to accomplish the compounds of 2,3-O-isopropylidene-α-L-xylo-2-hexulofuranosonic acid of Formula I (Arora et al.) wherein sugar is substituted with a carbamate group (Bouveng). The motivation is provided by Arora et al., the prior art suggests that the substitution of free hydroxyl group of L-hexose with O-heterocyclic alkyl, heterocyclic alkyl, N-heterocycle, N-heterocyclic alkyl, etc. groups can make the compounds active to combat various diseases including cancer (col. 2, lines 40-45).

2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Devesh Khare whose telephone number is (571)272-0653. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 to 4:30.

Art Unit: 1623

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson, Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1623 can be reached at (571)272-0661. The official fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556 or 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Devesh Khare, Ph.D.,J.D. Art Unit 1623 October 10,2004

ELLI PESELEV PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800